

Timing of infrastructure failure from permafrost degradation - some modeling insights

Thomas Schneider von Deimling, Thomas Ingeman-Nielsen, Hanna Lee, Erin Trochim, Vladimir Romanovsky, Moritz Langer





AWI Innovation Funds Project IP10200006



SPONSORED BY THE



Grant 01LN1709A



SEFÖRDERT VON

rschung für Nachhaltigkeit

HELMHOLTZ



Modelling challenge: closing the gap in spatial and temporal scales

- **GTMs** (Geotechnical Models) Designed to represent small scales, focus on short timescales (up to a few years)

LSMs (Land Surface Models)
Designed for large scales (hor. Resolution ~100km)
and long timescales (climate relevant)

- PTMs (Process-based Tiling Models)

- scalability to a specific modelling problem
- computational efficiency allows long-term simulations to investigate climate change impacts



• We used CryoGrid3 – a laterally coupled 1D heat conduction model (extended for linear infrastructure) (Github, Zenodo)



Modelling of a gravel road on cold continuous permafrost





Dalton highway (near Deadhorse, Alaska)

T. Schneider von Deimling, H. Lee, T Ingeman-Nielsen, S.Westermann, V.Romanovsky, S. Lamoureux, D.Walker, S.Chadburn, L.Cai, E.Trochim, J.Nitzbon, S.Jacobi, M.Langer. **Consequences of permafrost degradation for Arctic infrastructure - bridging the model gap between regional and engineering scales**. The Cryosphere, 2021

Model setup

- Description of road centre, shoulder, toe, and tundra
- We applied the model to historical climate conditions and to a scenario of intensive future warming (RCP8.5)
- We tested different horizontal resolutions





25

25

25

100

100

100



Modeling results: simulated ground temperatures

T. Schneider von Deimling, H. Lee, T Ingeman-Nielsen, S.Westermann, V.Romanovsky, S. Lamoureux, D.Walker, S.Chadburn, L.Cai, E.Trochim, J.Nitzbon, S.Jacobi, M.Langer. Consequences of permafrost degradation for Arctic infrastructure - bridging the model gap between regional and engineering scales. The Cryosphere, 2021

Uncertainty in the timing of future infrastructure failure (model setting)



Analyzing the sensitivity to

- Depth of excess ice
- Embankment thickness

Uncertainty in the timing of future infrastructure failure



Uncertainty in the timing of future infrastructure failure





Road Centre (SU1) Outer Road Centre (SU1) Shoulder (SU2) Toe (SU3) Tundra (SU4) V Excess Ice Layer

Considering infrastructure subject to a warmer present day climate









Slowing of infrastructure failure through passive cooling



Slowing of infrastructure failure through passive cooling



Climate-warming affected reduction in thermosyphon efficacy (passive cooling)



- Reduction in heat extraction by 18% (compared to 2020) by 2050

- Reduction in heat extraction by 33% by 2075





AWI Innovation Funds Project IP10200006



- Small-scale infrastructure-permafrost interaction together with long-term climate change impacts can be described by models (possible with low horizontal model resolution)
 - Risk of model-based underestimates of the time of infrastructure failure when neglegting infrastructure-permafrost interaction
- Infrastructure sites on cold and continous permafrost can suffer from stability issues already under today's climate conditions (bearing capacity loss can occur many decades before infrastructure failure from ground subsidence)
- Uncertainty in estimating the timing of future failure is very large (a key uncertainty is the depth of excess ice in the ground which can translate into estimates for failure differing by many decades)
- Climate change poses increasing challenges for Arctic infrastructure due to
 - rising numbers of sites affected by permafrost warming or degradation
 - decreasing efficacy of passive cooling techniques

Key Points



HELMHO

SPONSORED BY THE

Federal Ministry of Education and Research

Grant 01LN1709A